

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/085,648	02/27/2002	Gyoung Su Kim	2598/OK326	8638
75	05/17/2004		EXAM	INER
DARBY & D.	ARBY P.C.		DONOVAN,	LINCOLN D
805 Third Aven		*		5N 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
New York, NY	10022		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
*			2832	
			DATE MAILED: 05/17/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)				
		10/085,648	KIM ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit				
		Lincoln Donovan	2832				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this c mmunication apor Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPAMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repair of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for the period for reply will, by status the period for reply will, by status reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing datent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fi te, cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. rom the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. & 133).				
Status	. 00	•	*				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 i	February 2004.	•				
		is action is non-final.					
3)□	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dienoeiti	on of Claims		3				
	V						
 4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration. 							
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-6</u> is/are rejected.							
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)∟	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers	*					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		* *				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Offi	ce Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig ☑ All b)	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).				
/-	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen		ation No.				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	•					
	application from the International Burea	* **					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment	(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa	ary (PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notica	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail	Date				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	l Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/085,648

Art Unit: 2832

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claim 3 is objected to because of the following informalities: in line 9, "in side" should be corrected as – inside --. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 3-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Applicant should clarify the specific mounting of the coil density adjustment groove formed on the exterior of the coil in crosswise to a front-to-rear direction." The particular structure intended, as claimed, is unclear.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Togane et al. (US 5,138,290) in view of Milili IUS 5,121 ,028).

Togane et al. disclose a deflection yoke (figure 1) comprising: a conical-shaped coil separator (6) mounted with a front cover the bonds

Application/Control Number: 10/085,648

Art Unit: 2832

with a cathode-ray tube and a rear cover that bonds the neck portion on the opposite side (figure 1); a ferrite core (4) for forming a magnetic field on the external side of the separator; and vertical and horizontal deflection coils (5, 2) for forming a magnetic field together with the ferrite core.

Togane et al. disclose the instant claimed invention except for a coil adjustment groove for the deflection yoke to increase coiling density.

Milili discloses an adjustment groove/hole formed on the coil, at a position approximately 1/2 the position of a longitudinal position of the electric field, for increasing the coil density (column 6, lines 51-65).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the adjustment design of Milili with the deflection yoke of Togane et al. for the purpose of reducing distortion.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 02-20-04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues:

[1]: There would have been no motivation for using the coil adjusting groove of Milili with the coil of Togane.

[2]: Milili does not disclose the groove being placed in the coil on its exterior surface compressing the windings inwardly.

Examiner disagrees:

Regarding [1]: In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be

Application/Control Number: 10/085,648

Art Unit: 2832

established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988)and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, as acknowledged by applicant, Milili discloses tabs controlling the magnetic field produced. A skilled artisan would have been motivated to modify the coil of to use adjustment means for the magnetic field, as suggested by Milili.

Regarding [2]: Milili discloses the tabs 100' being placed on the exterior of the coil in a "cross-wise direction from the front to rear direction."

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 2832

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lincoln Donovan whose telephone number is (571) 272-1988. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Elvin Enad can be reached on (571) 272-1990. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ldd